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In his Critique of Everyday Life (1947, 1961, 1981), the Marxist philosopher and sociologist Henri Lefebvre construed ordinary human experience as a state of unstable equilibrium, troubled by unease, quiet alienation and repressed desires for radical change. In language reminiscent of William Morris’s impassioned pleas for an ‘art of the people,’ Lefebvre also called for new studies of “everyday life, with the idea, or rather the project (the programme), of transforming it,” and a “[c]ritique of everyday life [which would] encompass ... a critique of art by the everyday, and a critique of the everyday by art.”

Few of Morris’s endeavours might seem more remote from the ideals of his socialist engagement than his practices as the founder and manager of the Kelmscott Press. He himself, however, vigorously defended his undertaking as a utopian protest against capitalist practices which had destroyed the art of bookmaking, as they had destroyed—or corrupted—everything else. As William Peterson paraphrased this view in his introduction to The Ideal Book, “[n]o one cannot understand the moral intensity of Morris’s typographical writings without realizing that he [did] not merely wish to improve the printing of books: in fact ... he want[ed] to alter the course of Western history.” In Jeffrey Skoblow’s view,

... to make beautiful things, for Morris, [was] to make unalienated things, to reclaim the thing-ness of things from the dilutions, adulterations, and abstractions of commodification—a material act nevertheless also ‘the embodiment of dreams’, a utopian vision that owed[d] as much to Keats as to Marx, the redemption of the senses.

And in Elizabeth C. Miller’s interpretation,
Morris's print work hearkened back to an idealist tradition in which art occupied a higher plane and served as an ethical model, but also drew on Aestheticism and Marxism to complicate that tradition by continually insisting on the artificiality of this ideal.

Morris set forth his own aims for the press in a series of lectures and interviews in the early and mid-nineties. In 1893, for example, a reporter from the Daily Chronicle quoted Morris's conviction that "a book is nowadays perhaps the most satisfactory work of art one can make or have. The best work of art of all to create is a house... A book comes next, and between a house and a book a man can do very well." In more direct terms Morris told an interviewer for Bookselling in 1895:

"I felt that for the books I loved and cared for there might be attempted a presentation... which should be worthy of one's feelings. That is all. The ideas we cherish are worth preserving, and I fail to see why a beautiful form should not be given to them, as well as an ugly one... Why should not every book be 'a thing of beauty'?'" 

In "The Ideal Book," Morris expressed a closely related conviction that the picture-book is not, perhaps, absolutely necessary to man's life, but it gives us such endless pleasure, and is so intimately connected with the other absolutely necessary art of imaginatively literature that it must remain one of the very worthiest things towards the production of which reasonable men should strive.

Morris's views of the "lesser arts" were deeply and passionately intertwined with his historicist (and by main force of character, utopian-retrospective) conviction that the earth which... for many ages grew in beauty as men grew in numbers and power, is now growing uglier day by day... [T]here was no effort or wonder about it when it [a Cotswold laborer's cottage] was built, though its beauty makes it strange now... That was the natural course of things... men could do no otherwise when they built than give some gift of beauty to the world: but all is turned inside out now, and when men build they cannot but take away some gift of beauty, which Nature or their own forefathers have given to the world.

Decades later, Lefebvre described the twentieth-century's fall from such prelapsarian grace in similar terms:

How could we not grasp the works of the past? They interest us, they fascinate us, and we call upon them desperately to give us a sense and a style. In the name of the vast emptiness which is everyday life, our everyday life, we look towards everything which could point to or perpetuate a plenitude... We perceive them as art objects, whereas in fact this art was not something external to the everyday or, as is supposed, high above it and trying in vain to enter it, but a style of life. What we perceive as theories and philosophies were in fact ways of everyday living.

At times, however, Morris acknowledged the looming presence of a deeper question, which various simulacra of "the Social Revolution" might or might not answer: "How shall we set about giving people without traditions of art eyes with which to see works of art?" In what follows, I will firstly ask whether Morris's practices at the Kelmscott Press were principled acts of heartfelt defiance or attempts to offer partial answers to these searching questions. I will then subject 'virtual' twenty-first-century representations of his works to a brief but earnest 'Morrisian' critique.

'Everyday' Practices at the Kelmscott Press

Morris chose fifty-two works (in sixty-six volumes) for reprinting at his labor of love, the Kelmscott Press. The print runs ranged from two hundred (for The Life and Death of Jason) to fifteen hundred (for Gothic Architecture), and many of his choices—including the tributes to William Caxton embodied in reprinting the Recuyell of the Historyes of Troye, The Golden Legend, The History of Reynard the Foxe, The History of Godfrey of Bolyne, and of course, The Canterbury Tales—clearly reflected his personal preference for poetry and medieval texts. Free of orthodox Arnoldian prescriptions to single out "the best which has been thought and said," Morris reprinted Aesop, for example, but no Plato or Sophocles; from the Renaissance and seventeenth century no Bunyan or Milton, but More's Utopia, Spenser's Shepheards Calendar, The Poems of William Shakespeare and Poems Chosen out of the Works of Robert Herrick; from the eighteenth only a translation of the Georgian Sulchan-Saba Orbeliani's Book of Wisdom and Lies; and from the nineteenth The Poems of John Keats, The Poetical Works of Percy Bysshe Shelley and Poems Chosen Out of the Works of Samuel Taylor Coleridge, but nothing by Wordsworth or Byron.

By contrast, Morris's generously idiosyncratic list of medieval works included Syr Perecyvelle of Gales, Sry Ismabre, The Floure and the Leafe, & the Boke of Cupid, Sire Degrevanaunt, The Order of Chivalry, Psalmi Penitentiales, and Laudes Beatae Mariae Virginis, as well as his
own translations of *Beowulf*, *The Tale of King Florus and the Fair Jehane*, *The Tale of the Emperor Coubans, Of the Friendship of Anis and Amile*, *Child Christopher*, and *L’Ordre de Chevalerie*. Scenes from *Perecyville, Isambraze* and *Degresaunt* appeared in the Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood’s Oxford Union murals, and *Annis and Amile* may have formed the basis of an early draft of a tale for the *Earthly Paradise*.15

From the nineteenth century, Morris also reprinted Tennyson’s *Maud: A Monodrama*, a favorite of his Pre-Raphaelite youth, and six works of his own poetry, as well as *A Dream of John Ball, News from Nowhere* and four historical romances. He also found room for the poetry of several friends and collaborators: A. C. Swinburne’s *Atalanta in Calydon*, D. G. Rossetti’s *Ballads and Narrative Poems* and *Sonnets and Lyrical Poems*, and—perhaps less happily—Wilfred Scawen Blunt’s *Love Lyrics and Songs of Proteus*. Prose choices included Rossetti’s *Hand and Soul*, Ruskin’s “The Nature of Gothic,” (with Morris’s own “Gothic Architecture” as a companion piece), and *Sidonia the Sorceress*, a sensational favorite of the Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood he described to an interviewer as “Lady Wilde’s translation of that wonderful story of the German witch-fever by Meinhold.” In none of the series’ sixty-six volumes did he find any room for a ‘realist’ work of nineteenth-century fiction.

As for his editorial practices, as Charles LaPorte has observed, Morris was no editorial purist even by the latitudinarian standards of Victorian scholarly norms: “[His] design [for the Chaucer] entail[ed] a single, resonant text, while medieval manuscript culture afforded only fragmented and often contradictory Chaucer texts.”16 In this context, the following pre-publication exchange may be relevant:

[Interviewer:] And now, Mr. Morris, tell me of your Chaucer. What is the edition you intend publishing to be like? Old English or modern, bowdlerized or uncorrupted, including the things of which the authorship is questionable, or strictly adhering to what is Chaucer’s beyond a doubt?

[Morris:] Old English, of course, and certainly not bowdlerized. I think I shall include the ‘Romant of the Rose,’ and in fact everything that is generally included in a good edition of Chaucer.18

In the event, Morris and his editor F. S. Ellis used the newly-minted scholarly edition by W. W. Skeat, Professor of Anglo Saxon at Cambridge, but omitted Skeat’s apparatus, which noted variations between existing texts and queried the authenticity of certain works traditionally ascribed to Chaucer.19

Morris’s broad responses to his interviewers and potential critics arguably reflected a personal belief in an eclectic and changing process of textual transmission expressed in his design of the *Earthly Paradise*, where Greek-speaking medieval Elders tell classical Mediterranean tales to shipwrecked northern Wanderers who reply with ‘Scandinavian’ and ‘Germanic’ counterparts, refracted through ‘medieval’ lenses and transmitted by a nineteenth-century author. Literary palimpsests (such as the aforementioned “Lady Wilde’s translation of ... [the] story of ... German witch-fever by Meinhold”) had an intrinsic value for Morris, and he understood more than most that what reader-response theorists now call “misreadings” and “misprisions” can have worthy lives of their own.20

**Idiosyncratic ‘Design’**

Morris in his role as director of the Press insisted on firm, clear typefaces and an “architecture,” or harmoniously designed page, with relatively small upper margins, larger ones below, and slightly smaller ones at the side. The minuteness of some of his prescriptions reflected an intense response to detail:

[L]egibility is the first thing to be aimed at in the forms of the letters; this is best furthered by the avoidance of irrational swellings and spiky projections, and by the using of careful purity of line. Even the Caslon type when enlarged shows great shortcomings in this respect: the ends of many of the letters such as the i and e are hooked up in a vulgar and meaningless way, instead of ending in the sharp and clear stroke of Jenson’s letters; there is a grossness in the upper finishings of letters like the c, the a, and so on, an ugly pear-shaped swelling defacing the form of the letter.21

He also rejected delicate contemporary typefaces and larger ‘leadings’ (or spaces between lines), and insisted on minimal letter-spacing, saturated black inks on white, crisp paper, and very bold typefaces, such as ‘Troy’ (Gothic), ‘Golden’ (Roman) and ‘Chaucer’ (a smaller Troy). No one who has ever looked at a Kelmscott book will forget the radiance of its paper and the sharp contrast of its dark letters. Morris also had firm things to say about an “ideal” book’s size:

I wish to make a protest against the superstition that only small books are comfortable to read; ... a small book seldom does lie quiet, and you have either to cram your hand by holding it, or else to put it on the table with a paraphernalia of matters to keep it down, a table-spoon on one side, a knife on another, and so on, which things alwaysumble off at a critical moment.22
Throughout his life, Morris sought to reconcile a kind of dialectical tension or coincidentia oppositorum between ideals of 'simplicity' and intricate 'ornamentation.' The former was most evident in the 'plainness' and immediacy of his essays and poetic diction, but he could be content with relatively unornamented pages if they seemed to him structurally handsome, and printed some longer works at the Press in this spirit. In a few instances, he even warned against 'gratuitous' ornamentation: "The essential point to be remembered is that the ornament, whatever it is, whether picture or pattern work, should form part of the page, should be a part of the whole scheme of the book."23 All the same, his enthusiasm for visual ornamentation was irrepressible, and many arabesques and flourishes found honourable places as "part[s] of the whole scheme." Indeed, ornament was one of Morris's special passions—a dialectical anti-synthesis, once again, to the synthesis of his remarkably direct and straightforward speech. In "The Ideal Book," for example, he asserted that "no doubt from [good] craftsmanship definite ornament will arise, and will be used, sometimes with wit, sometimes with forbearance, sometimes with prodigality equally wise."24 And in "Printing," he praised woodcut-illustrated medieval books as amongst the most delightful works of art that have ever been produced," and asserted that if one "added really beautiful ornament and pictures, printed books might once again illustrate ... that a work of utility might be also a work of art."25

Most tellingly of all, Morris designed (according to Sydney Cockerell) no less than three hundred and eighty-four initials of various sizes and six hundred and forty-four designs for the Press, ranging from frames, borders, half borders, and title pages to line endings, printer's marks and decorative initial words.26 In early Kelmscott books, for example, paragraph signs rather than spaces marked new beginnings. Like the heavy lead dividers of early Morris and Company stained glass windows, they divided their texts within pages and across openings, before smaller and more graceful leaves in two varieties replaced them in 1893. Many who have viewed Kelmscott designs in black and white reproductions may also be unaware of Morris's pervasive use of rubrication for contrast and simple emphasis. Not only were titles set in red, but also glosses, refrains, footnotes, chapter-headings, brief summaries at the sides of pages, and instances of indirect discourse.

The profusion of Morris's designs and devices also permitted him to vary the configurations from page to page. Nineteenth-century readers encountered such echeloned devices as initial S's in a column, or white-on-black and black-on-white initials on facing pages; or cascading leaves which set off a summary or "argument" from particular blocks of text.

Prose chapters and subdivisions were naturally graced with special titles and borders, but so also were tales, "books," and individual poems within larger units. In works such as the Kelmscott Chaucer, the fractal self-similarities become so complex that it becomes difficult if not impossible for a reader to discern whether they replicate each other.

All of this was broadly compatible with Ruskinian (or quasi-Ruskinian) principles of "savageness," "changefulness," "naturalism," "rigidity" and "redundance" set out in "The Nature of Gothic," a favorite text Morris reprinted for the Kelmscott Press. "Changefulness, or variety," for example, according to Ruskin must include periods of "darkness" and "repetition," for "monotony in a certain measure, used in order to give value to change ... is as essential in architectural as in all other composition; ... those who will not submit to the temporary sameness, but rush from one change to another, gradually dull the edge of change itself."27 Ruskin's principle of "naturalism"—the demand for natural forms tempered by respect for "fact"—also accorded well with Morris's verdant designs modeled on stylized representations of natural forms or their representations. According to Cockerell, Morris designed one of his new alphabets "with backgrounds of naturalesque grapes and vine leaves ... [after] a visit to Beauvais, where the great porches are carved with vines."28 The most unequivocally Morrissian of Ruskin's principles may have been "redundance," which Ruskin defined as the uncalculating bestowal of the wealth of its labour,... a magnificent enthusiasm, which feels as if it never could do enough to reach the fullness of its idea; an unselfishness of sacrifice ...; and, finally, a profound sympathy with the fullness and wealth of the material universe.29

A spirit of such "redundance" animated designs such as the elaborate opening of The Earthly Paradise, set into double borders with an inlaid background for a title whose tracery recalls Morris's earlier hand-illuminated manuscripts, and an assortment of line-ending designs and carefully placed leaves which intertwine to form a column (see figs. 3.1 and 3.2).

Thirty-three especially luxuriant initial words—the stately "Whan," for example, which opens the Prologue of the Canterbury Tales—appeared in the Press's three final volumes: The Well at the World's End (1896), The Works of Chaucer Newly Imprinted (1896), and the posthumous Water of the Wondrous Isles (1897), in some cases apparently designed for a single use.30 Thorstein Veblen—a critic of the Arts and Crafts movement—singed out the "impossibility of more than a single use" as one of the features of excess practised by a leisure class but as there are many
interpretations, so there are many uses. A few of Morris’s ornaments—for example, the emphatically arched “L” of “Long ago” and inset assurance that “help is to hand in the wood perilous,” in the frontispiece design of The Well at the World’s End—seem expressions of yearning from a man whose life-force waned with each passing month. Others, by contrast—such as the rather lovely and elegantly patterned “O Hatefull” in the Lawyer’s Prologue of The Canterbury Tales (see fig. 3.3)—seem ironic or wryly humorous literary counterparts of the sardonic bits in stone-carved medieval caricatures.

In the first volume of The Practice of Everyday Life, Michel de Certeau praised patterns of “poaching” (braconnage) as decisions to cut across the prescribed preserves of everyday life. Reading, for de Certeau, was a prime example, as he remarked:

readers are travellers; they move across lands belonging to someone else, like nomads poaching their way across fields they did not write, despoiling the wealth of Egypt to enjoy it themselves.... reading [is] characterized ... by advances and retreats, tactics and games played with the text. This process comes and goes, alternately captivated ... playful, protesting, fugitive.34

Such acts of Schwejkian resistance may be rarer than Lefebvre or Morris hoped, but Morris’s variations, flourishes and rubricated displays invited readers to “open the book and (re)write it in their own way.”35

Morris’s Revisions of His Own Works

Several of Morris’s deepest preferences animated his designs for the Kelmscott editions of his own works. He began with The Glittering Plain and Poems by the Way (1891), and later reprinted several of their predecessors in rough chronological order. Sigurd the Volsung was uncompleted at his death, but he made initial plans for the House of the Wolfings (1889),36 and may have intended to follow it with a reprint of its successor, The Roots of the Mountains (1889). Poems by the Way, the second book issued at the Press, was 197 pages long in Golden type, and used—as Cockerell once again tells us—fifty-nine different initials, as well as letters in red typeface in several of its Scandinavian ballads—“The Wooing of Halliborn,” “Hildebrand and Helletil,” “The King of Denmark’s Sons,” and “Hafbur and Signy”—which marked forebodings or sinister events in their refrains.37 In “The Wooing of Halliborn,” for example, a tale from the Icelandic Landnámabók with many resemances to The Earthly Paradise’s “Lovers of Gudrun,” red highlights appeared in the fiddler Snaebjorn’s refrain, “So many times over comes summer again, / What healing in summer if winter be vain,” to presege the bride Hallgerd’s future suicide and Snaebjorn’s bloody falling out with his brother Halliborn, Hallgerd’s husband. Rubrication of the refrain “So fair areseth the rim of the sun. / So grey is the sea when day is done” played a similar role in “The King of Denmark’s Sons,” and rubrication-aspermonition appears in other non-Scandinavian works such as “Verses for Pictures,” “For the Briar Rose,” “Tapestry Trees,” and political parables such as “A Death Song” and “The God of the Poor.” By contrast, Morris used large, dignified black initials in the Kelmscott reprint of poems such as “Love Fulfilled,” “Meeting in Winter,” “A Garden by the Sea,” “From the Upland to the Sea” and “Thunder in the Garden,” previously included in the early hand-illuminated “Book of Verse” (1870),38 as well as in more bleakly elegiac and meditative love poems reprinted from the Commenwealth versions of The Pilgrims of Hope: “The Message of the March Wind,” “Mother and Son,” and “The Half of Life Gone.”

Morris had just completed the first two works he printed at the Kelmscott Press—so that they were, in effect, first editions—and others followed in the rough order of composition mentioned earlier. The first page of The Defence of Guenevere (1892) was set entirely in full capital letters, and Guenevere’s soliloquy in terza rima appeared without the usual white space between stanzas. Oddly syncopated hyphenations at the ends of lines reinforce Guenevere’s agitated mental state as she confronts the possibility of death. Enjambments, omissions of stanza breaks, and idiosyncratic line-overlaps which are justified rather than indented created interesting superpositions of prose cadences and rhythmic free verse. Red typeface again reinforced the urgency and dramatic intensity of particular passages and refrains in seventeen of the volume’s thirty poems as well as the running notes and stage directions of “Galahad: A Christmas Mystery” and “Sir Peter Harpdon’s End.” The ominous effects of such heightening are especially striking in the long refrains of “The Wind” and “The Blue Closet,” so much so that the thirteen poems which appeared in black typeface—“The Defence of Guenevere” among them—seem sober, even stark by comparison.

Also in 1892, Morris (re)published his great political romances News from Nowhere and A Dream of John Ball. A Dream of John Ball, relatively short at 126 pages, is graced by Edward Burne-Jones’s oft-reprinted frontispiece “When Adam Delved and Eve Span” and the elegant borders of the work’s opening page. Morris also utilized the text’s many paragraph-marks as conversational shifts, often arranged to form patterns on a page, and large capitals appeared in three extradiegetic passages. The
first highlighted a coded password ("JOHN THE MILLER, THAT
GROUND SMALL, SMALL, SMALL / THE KING'S SON OF HEAVEN
SHALL PAY FOR ALL"). The second served as the inscription on a cup
(IN THE NAME OF THE TRINITY FILL THE CUP AND DRINK TO
ME). And the third, an end-marker, closed the work's final line (HERE
ENDS A DREAM OF JOHN BALL). Morris also employed fairly
complicated patterns of linked initials—large and small, white and black,
in letters chosen from different alphabet-sets, and arranged in alternately
symmetrical and asymmetrical designs. He abbreviated chapter titles in
rubricated running letters but reserved red typeface in the body for notes
and indirect discourse in three places: the banner of "When Adam delved
and Eve span"; in scholarly glosses of a pennon in the left margin of page
41; and the words "forestaller" and "regrater" on page 91.

At 305 pages, News from Nowhere was the longest of Morris's works
issued at the press to date, and in it he deployed the greater range of initial
letters he had by this point designed. Even more widely reprinted than
"When Adam delved" has been C. M. Gere's frontispiece-woodcut of
Kelmancott Manor, Nowhere's journey's end, inscribed "THIS IS THE
PICTURE OF THE OLD HOUSE BY THE THAMES / TO WHICH THE
PEOPLE OF THIS STORY WENT." Flowers and a small leaf frame the
inscription, and the text's marginal headers provide glosses as well as chapter titles. The volume's most striking use of red typeface is in the
inscription on the side of the Coach House ("Guests and neighbors . . .
Drink a glass to the memory [of the Socialist League]! May 1962") but
Morris also employed it to designate the speakers in an interpolated prose
dialogue ("Hammond" and "I"); in explanatory glosses—of "elegant" as
opposed to "gentle!", for example (p. 143); and to mark the transition to
the speaker's final vision in the passage which begins, "I lay in my bed in
my house in dingy Hammersmith thinking about it all" (p. 304).

The Wood Beyond the World (1894) opened with a Walter Crane
frontispiece which depicted a tall graceful woman—presumably the
"Maid"—in a forest, her head adorned with flowers, and each of The
Wood's thirty-six chapters began with a different border design of
interwoven leaves. Morris's new Troy Gothic type and set of graceful
black initials gave him a new palette of ornaments to work with, and he
began to use alternating leaf designs as graceful paragraph and quotation
markers. Red typeface disappeared entirely from its text, but survived in
the volume's elaborate chapter-openings, whose borders, large initials and
black Gothic-letter text were interspersed with more delicate leaves and
initials.

The Life and Death of Jason (1895) was the last of Morris's major
poetic works to appear in his lifetime. Its handsome quarto volume in Troy
type was again graced by a pair of Burne-Jones woodcuts, the first a
frontispiece, in which Jason seizes the Fleece while Medea diverts its
guardian dragon, and the second an end piece in which Medea stands
before the prophetess Circe as the latter reads a book which presumably
records her fate. The work's final device was a new "Kelmancott"
colophon, engraved in large Gothic letters (fig. 3.4). An reviewer for
Bookselling praised the "noble-looking volume" as follows:

Mr. Morris allowed us to examine the volume, the pages of which
glistened again with the beautiful black type on the choice white paper. We
have seen many of Mr. Morris's Kelmscott Press editions, but none to our
mind that excelled this for nobility of appearance and beauty of effect.

The volume's seventeen internal "book"-numbers appeared in each page's
margin in red, and each such book began with a red title, large initial, and
elaborate border for the first page. Especially large and detailed borders
framed the volume's first and last pages, and the first page's abrupt line
divisions resembled those of the Kelmscott Guinevere. Small leaves
demarcated the poem's stanzas, and its large pages provided space for
more complicated designs. Morris's Jason also deepened and heightened
the original role of Orpheus, whose songs heartened the fearful Argonauts
and accompanied their quest. Morris designed special ornaments to pay
homage to these poems within the poem (see fig. 3.5). Special borders in
the inner right margins, for example, identify the antiphonal responses of
Orpheus and the Sirens in book XIV.

The Earthly Paradise (1896-7) appeared posthumously in eight
volumes and 1,467 pages but the "idle singer"'s famous (and often
misinterpreted) "Apology" ("Dreamer of dreams, born out of my due time /
Why should I strive to set the crooked straight") was excised. The last
four volumes were "Printed by the Trustees of the late William Morris at
the Kelmscott Press" but a final note informed readers that Morris himself
had designed all the work's borders but one, prepared at his direction by
R. Catterson-Smith. In all likelihood, he also corrected proofs for the first
few volumes, and designed most of the work's frontispieces and page
designs as well as the earlier sections' arrangements of initials. Morris's
longest work may briefly be characterized as a vast imbrication of frames
within frames. An outermost "singer" offers twelve "poems of the
months," and each month has a prologue and two tales—one medieval and
one classical—told by native Greek " Elders" and their guests, Scandi-
navian "Wanderers" in flight from the Black Death. Interspersed
between the tales are passages in which the outer frame’s singer interprets the inner audience’s response, each month’s tales conclude with an epilogue, and the work ends with a valedictory “envoi.”

As I mentioned earlier, the work’s specially elaborate first opening (Fig. 3.2) includes an ornamented border, an ‘argument’ in full capitals, a title framed by leaves, and an initial “F” (for “Forget”) which blends into the border’s other designs. The first lines are divided by diagonally patterned leaves, and the ‘poems of the months’ are decorated by borders which isolate and separate them from the text. Each tale’s title is set in red typeface, as in previous volumes; red type returns once again from time to time, to mark names of speakers and the titles and refrains of songs (cf. “Outlanders, whence come ye last? / The snow in the street and the wind on the door” in vol. 5, pp. 75-77), and signals the emotional charge of certain direct quotations—for example, the missive ordering Michael’s death in “The Man Born to Be King,” which Cecily reads and rewrites to save his life (vol. 1, p. 178-80). Red type in the Bellerophon tales also highlights Proteus’ order to Bellerophon to undertake a lethal adventure and Philoctetes’ letter in which she warns him of a secret plot and professes her love. The latter is also decorated with the sole set of red leaves to appear in all of Morris’s published writings (vol. 7, 139-40; vol. 8, 19-29, 50).

The last few Kelmscott volumes also included two of his prose romances, The Well at the World’s End (1896), and The Water of the Wondrous Isles (1897). Morris reportedly prepared all the designs for the latter but two: large initials for “Whilom” and “Empty,” completed once again by R. Cutterson-Smith. Both volumes employed borders and red typeface to accent chapter headings but not red within the text. Striking in both volumes is their use of large woodcut initial words (not letters) surrounded by ornamental borders. Each of The Well at the World’s End’s four ‘books’ begins with such a woodcut, an illustration by Edward Burne-Jones and a frontispiece with a woodblock for the caption underneath the illustration. One of Morris’s best interspersed poems appeared in The Well at the World’s End (pp. 250-53), and each volume concluded with the new colophon used earlier in Jason (Fig. 3.4).

Love is Enough, Morris’s medieval masque of spiritual longing and the Press’s last volume of his poetry, appeared in octavo and Golden type in 1897. Probably in accordance with Morris’s wishes, the printers used red highlighting for stage-directions and speakers’ names, and subtle blends of black, white, blue and red to decorate the interventions of “Music,” the work’s internal narrator. Nine months before his death, Morris wrote that a book which I am making ready for printing, and in which I shall put all I know, is my Sigurd. I don’t want to say much about it now, but of all my books, I want to have this one more especially embodied in the most beautiful form I can give it. Burne-Jones will illustrate it, and it will be printed in the Troy type, and on a folio sheet. I am even looking forward myself to seeing it finished.45

Sadly he did not live to finish his plans for Sigurd, or for The House of the Wolfings, a work of poems linked by prose passages which might have offered a generically eclectic literary miniature of Wagnerian Musikdrama.46

A ‘Virtual’ Ideal Book?

The exquisite intricacy of the Kelmscott Press’s designs raises an obvious probing question posed by Morris’s contemporary interviewers. How—if at all—can one possibly construe these works as examples of “popular” art? Most of Morris’s published works are now available online in some form via Google, Kindle and the Gutenberg Project, as well as in reprints and on compact disks, and electronic “archives” of the works of other Victorian poets are in various stages of development in several countries. My own efforts to create an edition of the works of Morris (http://morrisedition.lib.uiowa.edu) have forced me to confront the limitations of such editions in general, and their compatibility or incompatibility with Morris’s exacting views of the “lesser arts” in particular.

The best *raison d’être* for such editions derive from their potential social value. Digital reproductions of scholarly texts sold by information-delivery corporations such as JSTOR, Project Muse, Google Books, and Kindle are profit-making ventures whose corporate owners are free to ignore the express desires and original intentions of their authors. The Morris Online Edition and comparable sites would—or at least should—take authorial desires and intentions seriously, and make their texts and images available to all without elaborate licensing arrangements, and without fee.47

Here, I believe, the spirit of Morris would vigorously concur. The Kelmscott Press was an expensive bibliophilic undertaking, but Morris worked tirelessly to make his writings on art, architecture and socialism available at low cost, in many print formats, and in more than one language,48 and told an interviewer for the *Daily Chronicle* in 1893 with obvious regret that “I wish—I wish indeed that the cost of the books was less, only that is impossible if the printing and the decoration and the paper and binding are to be what they should be.”49 Much depended and depends
on the modal and ethical nuances of what “should” be, and the boundary conditions of what is “possible.” To an interviewer for the *Pall Mall Gazette*, who asked him the obvious question about the production of fine books beyond the means of ordinary people, he responded as follows:

you see if we were all Socialists things would be different. We should have a public library at each street corner, where everybody should read all the best books, printed in the best and most beautiful type. I should not then have to buy all these old books, but they would be common property, and I could go and look at them whenever I wanted them, as would everybody else. Now I have to go to the British Museum, which is an excellent institution, but it is not enough.

[Reporter] It would give us a hundred British Museums instead of the one, you mean, Mr. Morris?

[Morris] Just so. 50

Morris’s ‘utopian’ answer was forced in this context, but he knew that “a hundred British Museums” would hardly provide the “street corner” access he envisioned. Jeffrey Skoblow has commented mordantly on the strait gate of even scholarly access to Kelmscott books:

To engage the Kelmscott Press directly, to take the books in hand, one generally must go to one of the great plutocratic libraries—the British, say, the Morgan, or the Huntington.... I have sat with the books in these places, calling their weighty delicacies forth from hidden stacks, attended by instructions and prohibitions—a bit more carefully managed, perhaps, than pleasure is pleased to be.... Such an encounter with the Kelmscott Press is itself a kind of activity beyond reading, though the materiality of the event is, as it were, extraneously somewhat clotted, fraught with questions that are hardly the books’ own. 51

By contrast: capitalists and the Pentagon created and built the internet (as the “arpnet”), and capitalists and the Pentagon (or their counterparts elsewhere) can corrupt it or take it away. But until they do, high school students and schoolteachers with internet access will be able to encounter the visual splendor of *Poems by the Way* at any virtual “street corner” in the world. Scholars will also be able to see and compare dozens of Morris’s lucidly written manuscripts as clearly as the original, and enlarge works and letters at will. Nothing may replace the “aura” of the original—the splendour in the grass, the glory of the flower. But we may well find strength in what remains behind: beautiful reproductions which may be consulted and collocated without damage or harm to the original texts.

A case has been made that no one would actually want to *read* an entire book in its ‘excessively’ large and ornate Kelmscott format. Admittedly, I have no immediate plans to read through a Kelmscott edition of the *Biblia Innocentium* or *The Recuyell of the Historys of Troye*. But weightless and luminous pages of texts I *do* want to read, or at least read and deeply admire—*A Dream of John Ball*, say—fly beneath my cursor, free of the constraints of careful placement of large volumes in carefully watched locations.

All complex undertakings are of necessity cooperative projects which require the combination of many ‘artisans’—in the case of electronic editions, site designers, image editors and writers of code and assorted metadata, as well as the scholars who supervise them. Part of the enjoyment of working on such projects derives from contributions of others and the serendipity of the unexpected—a communal process Morris deeply admired and readily acknowledged ("A work of art is always a matter of cooperation," as he put it to the *Daily Chronicle* interviewer in 1893). 52 Cooperation was deeply embedded in the praxis of Morris and Company and his other endeavours, including the Kelmscott Press, with its paper-suppliers, font-makers, compositors, press-workers, not to speak of its secretaries, authors, editors, illustrators and engravers. A partial portrait of the Kelmscott Press staff in 1895 showed Morris with a group of fifteen collaborators. 53 For Morris such skillful cooperation had been the essence of medieval labour, as well as the source of the finest books ever made. “A harmonious work of art,” for example, as he described it in his lecture on “The Woodcuts of Gothic Books,” was the collective product of “the designer of the picture-blocks, the designer of the ornamental blocks, the wood-engraver, and the printer, all of them thoughtful, painstaking artists, and all working in harmonious cooperation for the production of a work of art.” 54

My modest website—prepared with minimal resources of time and skill, and dependent on the limited conventions of present-day web design—hardly exemplifies the revolutionary qualities Morris ascribed to true “art.” But it is not (I hope) a utilitarian project “limited by commercial exigencies” of the sort Morris mocked in “The Ideal Book,” such as “a work on differential calculus [or] a treatise on manures.” 55 And it does, I believe, put in service to a good end some of the internet’s more striking attributes: its luminosity, potential for finely graduated contrast, and the relative ease with which images and designs may be added to its “pages.” At their best, these attributes provide for preliminary approximations to Morrisian ideals of simplicity, clarity and ornamentation, and recall Ruskin’s somewhat condescending injunction to
things which they intend shall be beautiful, and shall last [only] somewhat beyond the passing day. But before they vanish from the face of the earth, well-crafted ‘virtual’ reproductions of Morris’s books may encourage more readers than he ever imagined to set aside for a moment the “blank everyday,” contemplate his hopelessly utopian ideals, and hope with him “that real history ... [may be] no dead thing, but the living bond of the hopes of the past, the present, and the future.”
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